

COMPLETE

Collector: Arizona Chapte...I Contractors (Web Link) Started: Tuesday, May 06, 2014 1:45:20 PM Last Modified: Tuesday, May 06, 2014 3:06:32 PM Time Spent: 01:21:12 IP Address: 174.17.9.62

PAGE 2: Campaign Information

Q1: Please answer the following contact information Candidate Name:	Davia Calaman
	Doug Coleman
Campaign Committee Name:	The Committee to elect Doug Coleman
Campaign Address:	1474 S Royal Palm
City/Town:	Apache Junction
State:	AZ
ZIP:	85119
Email Address:	colemandouglas@yahoo.com
Phone Number:	480-982-7226
Q2: What elected office are you seeking?	State Representative
<u>_ 4 </u>	<u> </u>
Q3: What is your party affiliation?	Republican
Q4: Campaign Manager and/or Consultant:	
Consultant	Alan Heywood
Q5: Press and/or Media contact:	Alan Heywood/Doug Coleman
. ¶.	<u> </u>
	Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 3: Candidate Information

Q7: Current employment:	Arizona House of Representatives
Q8: Have you ever been elected or appointed to public office at the local, state or federal level?	Yes, Election year and office(s): Apache Junction City Council 1991 & 2009 (Appointed 2008). Mayor 1995, 1997, 1999, 2001, 2003, 2005. Arizona House of Representatives LD16 2012

AZAGC 2014 Candidate Survey

Q9: If elected, and you are running for the Arizona Legislature or United States Congress, which committees would you most like to serve (in order of preference) and why?

Government, because I am a firm believer in local control. With that being said, I also understand the importance of the restoration of HURF funding to the cities, counties, and taking care of the other infrastructure needs of this state.

Education, I am a retired business (Career and technical education) teacher, and have a great interest where education is concerned. It is also a huge portion of the State Budget.

Higher Education and Workforce Development, I have an interest in seeing that we have a competitive and productive University and workforce development and training system.

Q10: Would you like us to contact you to make an appointment for an interview to seek our support?	Yes
Q11: Would you be interested in visiting AZAGC	Yes

PAGE 4: Policy Questions

member project sites?

Q12: What are the greatest challenges for Arizona over the next two to four years?

The state budget will continue to be the greatest challenge for Arizona over the next four years, and setting spending priorities. Arizona's infrastructure continues to suffer and must be addressed as a higher priority. We were able to restore 50% of the local HURF funding to cities and counties this past session, but really did nothing for rural Arizona by way if the State Highway system and ADOT. We are still diverting funds intended for infrastructure to other state agencies like DPS. Our roads are not the only infrastructure problems we have. We as a state are the trustees for Billions of dollars in state assets by way of public schools. We have not funded the State School Facilities Board for the past several years to be able to make necessary repairs and construct new schools. This must be addressed. Education looms large the next four years as well.

Q13: What would you do to overcome these challenges?

I am and have been in favor of using economic development tools to bring in additional business and industry to our state. When we can attract an Apple (locating in my legislative district) that will bring in other businesses and add to our tax base, it is a win for the private and the public sector, providing additional tax dollars that we can use for infrastructure and education purposes. Our priorities need to change I believe. There is an old saying that goes "Arizona grows where water flows." I believe the same applies when it comes to other infrastructure as well.

Q14: Do you understand the relationship between infrastructure and the economy? Please explain.

Yes. While serving as the Mayor of Apache Junction for 12 years I worked to attract businesses of all sizes to our community, to bring jobs, investment, and help us to better serve the needs of our community. When I was first elected in 1991, the Superstition Freeway had not yet opened. The difference in what we were able to accomplish before and after the freeway went through let me see first hand the importance of infrastructure. I was on the Council and actually was responsible in a large way for creating a community facilities district to construct a sewer system in our community. This and the freeway were the investments that allowed our community to grow.

Q15: Do you support or oppose government spending	Support,
for the purposes of investment in public	Why?
infrastructure (e.g., transportation, water resources, water infrastructure, public facilities, state/local buildings, etc.)?	Infrastructure, in my opinion, should be viewed as an investment, not an expense. I believe it is an
	important role of government, and actually important to our national security and educational

needs to make such investments.

AZAGC 2014 Candidate Survey	
Q16: Do you support or oppose raiding the Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF) and Vehicle License Tax (VLT) to balance the state budget?	Oppose, Why? Its a short term savings with long term costs.
Q17: Would you support or oppose a referendum that closes the loophole that allows the Arizona Legislature to raid the Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF) and Vehicle License Tax (VLT) to balance the budget?	Oppose, Why? I believe the legislature should not be raiding these funds. With that being said, I probably would not support a referendum forcing the legislature to make cuts in other areas without being able to balance the consequences of those cuts looking at and considering the entire budget. I might be inclined to support such a measure if it did not make the policy "voter protected" where it requires a super majority to correct any problems or make any changes at all to fix those problems.
Q18: Would you support or oppose an initiative that closes the loophole that allows the Arizona Legislature to raid the Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF) and Vehicle License Tax (VLT) to balance the budget?	Oppose, Why? Same reason as above. I believe the legislature should not be raiding these funds. With that being said, I probably would not support an initiative forcing the legislature to make cuts in other areas without being able to balance the consequences of those cuts looking and considering the entire budget. I might be inclined to support such a measure if it did not make the policy "voter protected" where it requires a super majority to correct any problems or make any changes at all to fix those problems.
Q19: Would you support or oppose authorizing an additional revenue source for public infrastructure?	Support, Why? I generally have supported development tools that would provide additional funding for public infrastructure, such as Revenue Allocation Districts, and Community Facilities Districts.
Q20: Would you support or oppose a referendum that authorizes an additional revenue source for public infrastructure?	Support, Why? Generally supportive, but would need to see the language.
Q21: Would you support or oppose an initiative that authorizes an additional revenue source for public infrastructure?	Support, Why? Generally supportive, but again, would need to see the language.
Q22: Do you support or oppose streamlining environmental regulations while weighing the impacts to both the economy and the environment.	Support, Why? The environment is important, but too many times I believe we spend a dollar to save a nickel. We need to weigh the benefits and the costs as with every issue.

AZAGC 2014 Candidate Survey

Q23: Some localities are passing ordinances that allow preferences for local contractors to get a bid advantage because of business location. In some cases the advantage to the "local" contractor is as much a 5%. Do you support or oppose these ordinances? Oppose,

Why?

I believe in an even playing field, where everyone bids competitively. Also, sometimes it is difficult to define what a "local" contractor is. Sometimes a Contractor may have their offices in a nearby community, but may actually have more invested locally than a Contractor whose offices are in the same community as the bid seeker.

Q24: Under the guise of promoting "local labor", unions are adding language to city contracts requiring contractors to have apprecticeship programs, health insurance, a living wage, etc. prior to qualifying to perform work for the city. Do you support or oppose these provisions in city contracts?

Oppose,

Why? We are a right to work state.