

COMPLETE

Collector: Arizona Chapte...I Contractors (Web Link) Started: Tuesday, May 06, 2014 11:51:13 AM Last Modified: Tuesday, May 06, 2014 12:28:24 PM

Time Spent: 00:37:11 IP Address: 174.26.64.111

PAGE 2: Campaign Information

| Q1: Please answer the following contact inform | mation |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Candidate Name: | Jay Lawrence |
| Campaign Committee Name: | Jay Lawrence for the house - primary |
| Campaign Address: | 9385 E. Laural Lane |
| City/Town: | Scottsdale |
| State: | AZ |
| ZIP: | 85260 |
| Email Address: | jayaz@aol.com |
| Phone Number: | 6023209429 |
| Q2: What elected office are you seeking? | Representative State |
| | |
| Q3: What is your party affiliation? | Republican |
| Q4: Campaign Manager and/or Consultant: | |
| Consultant | Constantin Quarard |
| Q5: Press and/or Media contact: | Jay Lawrence |
| | |
| Q6: Name some of your key business organiza | tion endorsements? |
| Sheriff Joe Arpaio | |
| Dr. C T Wright | |
| Mayor Jerry Miles Russell Pearce | |
| Tom Horne | |
| | |
| PLEA | |

PAGE 3: Candidate Information

| Q7: Current employment: | Real Estate Agent MCO Fountain Hills Just left KTAR Radio talk show host to run for this office |
|-------------------------|---|
| | |
| | |

Q8: Have you ever been elected or appointed to public office at the local, state or federal level?

No

Q9: If elected, and you are running for the Arizona Legislature or United States Congress, which committees would you most like to serve (in order of preference) and why?

Education

The future of Arizona is on the line. Businesses elsewhere in America would like to move here, their executives are concerned. First I would fight common core. It is wrong for Arizona it is wrong for America. I would work to create Career and Technical Education relationships with various trades. The number of college grads is paltry compared to those who enter and the number of graduates who work in the field of study from which they graduate is even more embarrassing. It is important that we offer more skills to those who don't really have a career. We must do that for those students and for business who want to move to a community with a ready, trained, employee base.

Energy, Environment, and Natural Resources.

Just so that there would be a strong voice fighting regulations that limit growth and business.

Q10: Would you like us to contact you to make an appointment for an interview to seek our support?

Yes

Q11: Would you be interested in visiting AZAGC member project sites?

Yes

PAGE 4: Policy Questions

Q12: What are the greatest challenges for Arizona over the next two to four years?

Over regulating business

Raising the level of education without throwing money at education's desired outcomes

Fighting to keep the federal government out of more and more of our daily lives

Q13: What would you do to overcome these challenges?

I have discussed education and it's importance.,

As to anything else, I have never never a shrinking violet. I have a strong, some say persuasive voice. I will speak out against further regulating business. I will speak out for lowering various taxes. and I will speak out against any further government intervention, state and federal. in the lives and businesses of our community.

Q14: Do you understand the relationship between infrastructure and the economy? Please explain.

There is a strong relationship between infrastructure and the economy. The repair of failing infrastructure is important for a number of reasons.

- 1. that which needs repairing is keeping people from getting to and from jobs more easily with less anger.
- 2. That which needs repairing offers earnings to many and tax dollars to the state.
- 3. That which needs care could be ahealth care issue and effects us all.
- 4. The cosmetics of a community helps with new business, and the morale of the community itself.

| Q15: Do you support or oppose government spending | Support, |
|---|---|
| for the purposes of investment in public infrastructure (e.g., transportation, water resources, water infrastructure, public facilities, state/local buildings, etc.)? | Why? For reasons I mentioned above and more |
| Q16: Do you support or oppose raiding the Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF) and Vehicle License Tax (VLT) to balance the state budget? | Oppose, Why? raiding any of the funds to balance the state budget is a sign of failure. I could put millions of dollars into state coffers by consolidating schools |
| Q17: Would you support or oppose a referendum that closes the loophole that allows the Arizona Legislature to raid the Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF) and Vehicle License Tax (VLT) to balance the budget? | Support |
| Q18: Would you support or oppose an initiative that closes the loophole that allows the Arizona Legislature to raid the Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF) and Vehicle License Tax (VLT) to balance the budget? | Support |
| Q19: Would you support or oppose authorizing an additional revenue source for public infrastructure? | Why? It would depend on that additional revenue source |
| Q20: Would you support or oppose a referendum that authorizes an additional revenue source for public infrastructure? | Why? Same answer as above |
| Q21: Would you support or oppose an initiative that authorizes an additional revenue source for public infrastructure? | Why? Same answer |
| Q22: Do you support or oppose streamlining environmental regulations while weighing the impacts to both the economy and the environment. | Support, Why? I will be a voice for not just streamlining environmental regulations. I will be a voice for ending those regulations that stand in the way of business and individuals |
| Q23: Some localities are passing ordinances that allow preferences for local contractors to get a bid advantage because of business location. In some cases the advantage to the "local" contractor is as much a 5%. Do you support or oppose these ordinances? | Oppose, Why? Contractors should be hired on the basis of bids and skills not georgraphy. |
| | |

Q24: Under the guise of promoting "local labor", unions are adding language to city contracts requiring contractors to have apprecticeship programs, health insurance, a living wage, etc. prior to qualifying to perform work for the city. Do you support or oppose these provisions in city contracts?

Oppose,

Why?

I would like to see apprenticeship programs as I have mentioned but they should not be criteria for hiring. Define a living wage, I don't believe you can define therefore to make that criteria for hiring is again senseless. City contracts should go to lowest bid with skills to get the job done properly.