

# AZAGC 2014 Candidate Survey

#120



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Arizona Chapt...l Contractors (Web Link)

**Started:** Friday, June 13, 2014 11:10:27 AM

**Last Modified:** Wednesday, June 18, 2014 5:50:37 PM

**Time Spent:** Over a day

**IP Address:** 174.26.6.62

## PAGE 2: Campaign Information

### Q1: Please answer the following contact information

Candidate Name:	Bob Worsley
Campaign Committee Name:	Bob Worsley for State Senate
Campaign Address:	63 E Main Street
Campaign Address 2:	Suite 102
City/Town:	Mesa
State:	AZ
ZIP:	85201
Email Address:	bobworsley2014@gmail.com
Phone Number:	480-649-6141

### Q2: What elected office are you seeking?

State Senate - LD25

### Q3: What is your party affiliation?

Republican

### Q4: Campaign Manager and/or Consultant:

Manager	Michael Robbins
Consultant	Kent Lyons

### Q5: Press and/or Media contact:

Kent Lyons

### Q6: Name some of your key business organization endorsements?

Arizona Chamber of Commerce

## PAGE 3: Candidate Information

### Q7: Current employment:

Founder/President

### Q8: Have you ever been elected or appointed to public office at the local, state or federal level?

Yes,  
Election year and office(s):  
State Senate LD25 2012

## AZAGC 2014 Candidate Survey

**Q9: If elected, and you are running for the Arizona Legislature or United States Congress, which committees would you most like to serve (in order of preference) and why?**

Most likely my committee assignments will remain the same next term.

I am a current member of the Senate Commerce, Energy & Military Committee and a member of the Senate Transportation Committee. I am also the current Vice-Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee.

**Q10: Would you like us to contact you to make an appointment for an interview to seek our support?** Yes

**Q11: Would you be interested in visiting AZAGC member project sites?** Yes

### PAGE 4: Policy Questions

**Q12: What are the greatest challenges for Arizona over the next two to four years?**

Our greatest threat is distraction; distraction from the most meaningful and impactful issues in pursuit of small, divisive and symbolic legislation. Almost without exception, this symbolic legislation would address an issue that was not pressing and ultimately inconsequential. We must stay focused on the legacy issues that will affect Arizona residents for generations to come and we must remember that a strong economy is what provides the luxury of being able to talk about other things.

**Q13: What would you do to overcome these challenges?**

Focus on the economy and not divisive social issues. Avoid symbolic legislation at all costs. Let's worry about things we can actually affect like our economy.

**Q14: Do you understand the relationship between infrastructure and the economy? Please explain.**

It is a symbiotic relationship where each is dependent on the other. Economy can't grow without infrastructure. Infrastructure cannot be built and maintained without funds that come from a robust economy. Neither can be without the other which is why we need to take careful measure to address each.

Infrastructure is typically discussed in the context of funds, but we also need the right leadership who have the foresight and vision to understand not only our needs now, but what our needs will be in 20 years. We need leaders with legacy project in mind. The Arizona we know and benefit from today exists because of leaders who saw the long term value of things like the Central Arizona Project.

**Q15: Do you support or oppose government spending for the purposes of investment in public infrastructure (e.g., transportation, water resources, water infrastructure, public facilities, state/local buildings, etc.)?** Support,  
Why?  
When done with prudence, proper execution, and justification, public investment in infrastructure not only facilitates economic growth, it improves overall quality of life in the community.

**Q16: Do you support or oppose raiding the Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF) and Vehicle License Tax (VLT) to balance the state budget?** Oppose,  
Why?  
There are better and more responsible ways to address state budget needs.

## AZAGC 2014 Candidate Survey

<b>Q17: Would you support or oppose a referendum that closes the loophole that allows the Arizona Legislature to raid the Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF) and Vehicle License Tax (VLT) to balance the budget?</b>	Support, Why? Would need to see the language of something like this, but as I stated above, I do not support using highway funds or vehicle license taxes to balance budget.
<b>Q18: Would you support or oppose an initiative that closes the loophole that allows the Arizona Legislature to raid the Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF) and Vehicle License Tax (VLT) to balance the budget?</b>	Support, Why? Would need to see the language of something like this, but as I stated above, I do not support using highway funds or vehicle license taxes to balance budget.
<b>Q19: Would you support or oppose authorizing an additional revenue source for public infrastructure?</b>	Support, Why? I would, of course, look at this on a case by case basis.
<b>Q20: Would you support or oppose a referendum that authorizes an additional revenue source for public infrastructure?</b>	Support, Why? I would, of course, look at this on a case by case basis.
<b>Q21: Would you support or oppose an initiative that authorizes an additional revenue source for public infrastructure?</b>	Support, Why? 1
<b>Q22: Do you support or oppose streamlining environmental regulations while weighing the impacts to both the economy and the environment.</b>	Support, Why? I believe there is a balance between being good stewards of our land and resources while meeting the needs of a growing economy. Environmental regulations should not be oppressive.
<b>Q23: Some localities are passing ordinances that allow preferences for local contractors to get a bid advantage because of business location. In some cases the advantage to the "local" contractor is as much as 5%. Do you support or oppose these ordinances?</b>	Oppose, Why? Leave it to true competition. Government does not need to be picking winners and loser.
<b>Q24: Under the guise of promoting "local labor", unions are adding language to city contracts requiring contractors to have apprenticeship programs, health insurance, a living wage, etc. prior to qualifying to perform work for the city. Do you support or oppose these provisions in city contracts?</b>	Oppose, Why? Government does not need to have a role in this.